

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,566	01/10/2002	Boaz Maor	ARIBP065	9296
VAN PELT Y	7590 03/30/2011 T & JAMES LLP	EXAMINER		
10050 N. FOO	THILL BLVD #200		AKINTOLA, OLABODE	
CUPERTINO, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptocorrespondence@ip-patent.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/043,566	MAOR, BOAZ				
Examiner	Art Unit				
OLABODE AKINTOLA	3691				

		OLABODE AKINTOLA	3091		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY PLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DA reasons of time may be averable under the processors of 27 CPR 1.13 SIX (1) MCNTS from the material rise of this contenuous of 27 CPR 1.13 SIX (1) MCNTS from the material rise of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period up to reply which have been considered above. The maximum statutory period reply recovered by the Office Island that the momittee allowed reply recovered by the Office Island that the member of adjustment the soft CPR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This . Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3.10-29 and 37-40 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3.10-29 and 37-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filled onis/are: a) accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	pted or b) objected to by the lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	. ,	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicatity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachmen	ıt(s)				

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413
2) Notice of Draftsporson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO-948)	Paper Ne(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent App

6) Other: _____ Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/20/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 10-12, 14-16, 18-20, 22-25, 27-28 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li et al (USPAP 20030004850).

Re claims 1-3, 10-12, 14-16, 18-20, 22-25, 27-28 and 37-40: Li teaches a method (and corresponding system and readable medium) comprising: determining, prior to conducting an auction, a maximum and minimum volume to be awarded from a total volume, to a leading successful bidder in the auction; conducting the auction using a processor; and after the auction has been conducted, awarding to the highest ranked bidder in the auction an amount equal to the

Application/Control Number: 10/043,566

Art Unit: 3691

minimum and an additional volume, up to the maximum volume, based on a factor that is related to a difference between a first ranked bid and a second ranked bid; and awarding to a second ranked bidder a portion of the total volume (see at least the figures, ¶ 0173-0174, 0179, 109, 112, claim 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 17, 21, 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al.

Re claims 17, 21, 26 and 29: Li does not explicitly teach wherein the market feedback includes a rank of the at least one bidder; wherein the auction is a forward auction; wherein the minimum

Art Unit: 3691

volume is expressed as a percentage of the total volume; wherein the quantity is a monetary value.

Official notice is hereby taken that these concepts are are old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Konia's concept to include these feature for the obvious reason of enhancing the flexibility of the system.

.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olabode Akintola whose telephone number is 571-272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,566 Page 5

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Olabode Akintola/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691